N-qubit Entanglement Index and Classification

Ying Wu
Physics Department and National Key Laboratory for Laser Technique, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, and Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, People's Republic of China
(February 1, 2008)

We show that all the N-qubit states can be classified as N entanglement classes each of which has an entanglement index $E = N - p = 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1$($E = 0$ corresponds to a fully separate class) where p denotes number of groups for a partition of the positive integer N. In other words, for any partition $(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)$ of N with $n_j \geq 1$ and $N = \sum_{j=1}^{p} n_j$, the entanglement index for the corresponding state $\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}$ with ρ_{n_j} denoting a fully entangled state of n_j-qubits is $E(\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p}(n_j - 1) = N - p$.

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Ta

arXiv:quant-ph/0211139v1 21 Nov 2002

Recently, Yu, *et al.* have classified N-qubit entanglement via Bell's inequalities as $(N - 1)$ entanglement classes with an entanglement index $E = 0, 2, 3, \cdots, N$ [1]. Although their original idea is elegant, their classification and introduced entanglement index suffer from serious drawbacks. For instance, it is easily checked to find that their classification and entanglement index can not, even for a 2-qubit system, discriminate the fully separable 2-qubit states such as $(|0\rangle_1|0\rangle_2)$ from the any Bell states (such as $(|0\rangle_1|0\rangle_2 + |1\rangle_1|1\rangle_2)$ which are maximum entangled states for a 2-qubit system, and some of their classification and entanglement index do not satisfy the additivity feature for tensor products of independent states, which should be satisfied by any correct entanglement measure [2]. These mentioned unreasonable features may originate from the fact [3,4] that the CHSH (Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt) form of Bell's inequalities are not a sufficiently good measure of quantum correlations in the sense that there are states which don't violate the CHSH inequality but on the other hand can be purified by local interactions and classical communications to yield a state that does violate the CHSH inequality.

Closely inspecting the unreasonable features mentioned above, we find that they can be removed by introducing a new scheme of classification and entanglement index as follows: All the N-qubit states can be classified as N entanglement classes each of which has an entanglement index $E = N - p = 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1$ ($E = 0$ corresponds to a fully separate class). Here p denotes number of groups for a partition of the positive integer N, i.e., the partition of N ($(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)$ with $n_j \geq 1$ and $N = \sum_{j=1}^{p} n_j$) corresponds a set of p strictly positive integers that sum up to N.

Let us explain this novel scheme in some details. All the partitions for a fixed positive integer N can be easily obtained by a simple Mathematica code ">> DiscreteMath'Combinatorica' ; n=specified positive integer; Partitions[n]". Obviously, there exists a one-to-one correspondence [1] between a partition $(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)$ with $n_j \geq 1$ and $N = \sum_{j=1}^{p} n_j$ and a N-qubit state $\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}$ with ρ_{n_j} denoting a fully entangled state of n_j-qubits or called a n_j-qubit GHZ state [2] (Actually, $n_j \geq 3$ corresponds to a real GHZ state while $n_j = 2$ denotes a fully entangled state or a EPR state while $n_j = 1$ does not correspond to any entangled state). The entanglement index for such a N-qubit state is defined as $E(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p) \equiv E(\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} E(\rho_{n_j})$. The entanglement index for any n_j-qubit GHZ state (including the 1-particle states corresponding to $n_j = 1$) can be defined as $E(\rho_{n_j}) \equiv E(n_j) = (n_j - 1)$ and hence $E(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p) = \sum_{j}^{p} E(n_j) = N - p$. It is pointed out that such a specification of entanglement index for the any n_j-qubit GHZ state is consistent with the conclusion [2] that a m-qubit GHZ state can be made from a set of (m-1) EPR pairs corresponding to $E(m) = (m - 1)E(2) = m - 1$ in our notation. Then by noting that the positive integer p for a given positive integer N can take all the integers $1, 2, \cdots, N$, we see that the entanglement index for a fixed N is $E(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p) \equiv E(\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} E(\rho_{n_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p}(n_j - 1) = N - p$ which can take the values $E = N - p = 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1$. $E = 1, 2, \cdots, (N - 1)$ corresponds to $(N - 1)$ different entangled classes of a N-qubit system while $E = 0$ corresponds to a fully separate class.

Such a scheme of classification and entanglement index obviously represents a reasonable one because it can readily seen that it satisfies the following four properties for any reasonable entanglement measure [2]: 1)It should be zero for separate states (our scheme satisfies this requirement because $E(1, 1, \cdots, 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E(1) \equiv 0$ due to $E(1) = 1 - 1 = 0$). 2)It should be invariant under local unitary transformations (our scheme satisfies this property because $E(U_{local}\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p} U_{local}^{\dagger}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} E(U_j \rho_{n_j} U_j^{\dagger}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} E(\rho_{n_j})$ where $U_{local} = \prod_{j}^{p} U_j$ with U_j denoting any unitary operation on the specified n_j-qubit system). 3) Its expectation should not increase under local quantum operations and classical

communication (this property is obviously satisfied by our scheme). 4)It should be additive for tensor products of independent states, shared among the same set of observers (our scheme satisfies obviously for any partition with $p > 1$ while for $p = 1$ it is also true due to the conclusion [2] that a m-qubit GHZ state can be made from a set of (m-1) EPR pairs corresponding to $E(m) = (m-1)E(2) = m-1$ in our notation).

In summary, inspired by the elegant idea in ref. [1], we have proposed a simple and reasonable novel scheme classifying and measuring the entanglement for a N-qubit system by showing that all the N-qubit states can be classified as N entanglement classes each of which has an entanglement index $E = N - p$ which takes one of the values $0, 1, \cdots, N-1$ ($E = 0$ corresponds to a fully separate class). Here p denotes number of groups for a partition of the positive integer N. A larger value of the entanglement index corresponds to a bigger entanglement in the fact that the entanglement is a kind of quantum resource with the entanglement of any EPR pairs as a basic unit. Any n-qubit GHZ state can be transformed into (n-1) EPR pairs by LOCC according to Bennett et al's conclusion [2]. It is emphasized that the entanglement index and classification are suitable for pure states and for mixed states as well because the entanglement index with a fixed N for the state density operator $\rho = \sum_{all\ p} P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}$ with the probabilities $P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)$ satisfying $\sum_{all\ p} P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p) = 1$ can be defined as $E(\rho) = \sum_{all\ p} P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)E(\rho_{n_1} \bigotimes \rho_{n_2} \cdots \bigotimes \rho_{n_p}) = \sum_{all\ p} P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p) \sum_{j=1}^{p} E(\rho_{n_j})$ or $E(\rho) == \sum_{all\ p} P(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_p)(N-p)$.

YW is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through grants 90108026, 60078023 and 10125419, and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences through the 100 Talents Project and grant KJCX2-W7-4.

[1] S. Yu, Z. B. Chen, J. W. Pan, and Y. D. Zhang, E-print, quant-ph/0211063.

[2] C. H. Bennett, S. Popescu, D. Rohrlich, J. A. Smolin, and A. V. Thapliyal, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 012307 (2001) and references therein; E-print, quant-ph/9908073.

[3] N. Gisin, Phys. Lett. A **210**, 151(1996).

[4] V. Vedral, M. B. Plenio, M. A. Rippin and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2275 (1997); E-print, quant-ph/9702027.